



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

80
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/688,281	10/13/2000	Frederick J. Oko JR.		9490
7590	01/04/2005		EXAMINER	
Roberts Abokhair & Mardula LLC 11800 Sunrise Valley Drive Suite 1000 Reston, VA 20191-5302			WON, MICHAEL YOUNG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/688, 281	10/13/2000	Frederick J. Oko JR.	

EXAMINER

WON, MICHAEL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2155 20050127

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JON L. ROBERTS (REP) (3)

(2) MICHAEL Y. WON, PTO (4)

Date of interview 1/27/05

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1

Identification of prior art discussed: Hanson et al. ('045), GOHschlag et al. ('644)
Bowcutt et al. ('328)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

MR. ROBERTS proposed to amend the specification to limit content as described to ~~broadcast~~ broadcast media or broadcast content (not adding new matter) and incorporate such language into the claim language. And respond to overcome prior art mentioned in the specification (re: Shieh et al.)

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has not been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-98)

Hosain Alam
HOSAIN ALAM
PATENT EXAMINER